

Internat. J. Math. 17(2006), no. 9, 1047–1064.

FINITE COVERS OF GROUPS BY COSETS OR SUBGROUPS

ZHI-WEI SUN

Department of Mathematics and Institute of Mathematical Science
Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China

zwsun@nju.edu.cn

<http://pweb.nju.edu.cn/zwsun>

ABSTRACT. This paper deals with combinatorial aspects of finite covers of groups by cosets or subgroups. Let $a_1G_1, \dots, a_kG_k$ be left cosets in a group G such that $\{a_iG_i\}_{i=1}^k$ covers each element of G at least m times but none of its proper subsystems does. We show that if G is cyclic, or G is finite and $G_1, \dots, G_k$ are normal Hall subgroups of G , then the inequality $k \geq m + f([G : \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i])$ holds, where $f(\prod_{t=1}^r p_t^{\alpha_t}) = \sum_{t=1}^r \alpha_t(p_t - 1)$ if $p_1, \dots, p_r$ are distinct primes and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ are nonnegative integers. When all the a_i are the identity element of G and all the G_i are subnormal in G , we prove that there is a composition series from $\bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i$ to G whose factors are of prime orders. The paper also includes some other results and two challenging conjectures.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a multiplicative group, and let

$$\mathcal{A} = \{a_iG_i\}_{i=1}^k \tag{1.1}$$

be a finite system of left cosets in G (where $a_1, \dots, a_k \in G$, and $G_1, \dots, G_k$ are subgroups of G).

For any $I \subseteq [1, k] = \{1, \dots, k\}$ we define the *index map* I^* from G to the power set of $[1, k]$ as follows:

$$I^*(x) = \{i \in I : x \in a_iG_i\} \quad (x \in G). \tag{1.2}$$

$m(\mathcal{A}) = \inf_{x \in G} |[1, k]^*(x)|$ is said to be the *covering multiplicity* of (1.1).

Key words and phrases. Cover of a group by cosets; Hall subgroups; Subnormal subgroups.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20D60; Secondary 05A05, 11B25.

The author is supported by the National Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars (No. 10425103) and a Key Program of NSF in P. R. China.

For a positive integer m , if $m(\mathcal{A}) \geq m$ (respectively, $|[1, k]^*(x)| = m$ for all $x \in G$) then we call (1.1) an m -cover (resp. *exact m -cover*) of G . If (1.1) forms an m -cover of G but none of its proper subsystems does, then it is said to be a *minimal* (or an *irredundant*) m -cover of G . A *cover* of G refers to a 1-cover of G , and a *disjoint cover* (or *partition*) of G means an exact 1-cover of G . Obviously an exact m -cover is a minimal m -cover and any minimal m -cover has covering multiplicity m . Disjoint covers of general groups were investigated by I. Korec [K74], M. M. Parmenter [P84] and R. Brandl [Br90], while exact m -covers of groups were studied by the author in [S01] and [S04b].

If (1.1) forms a minimal cover of a group G , then all the G_i have finite index in G by B. H. Neumann [N54a, N54b], and furthermore

$$\left[G : \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i \right] \leq k! \quad (1.3)$$

by M. J. Tomkinson [T87]. The author [S90] observed that this still holds if (1.1) is a minimal m -cover of a group G .

Here we give a simple way to explain why $[G : G_i] < \infty$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$ provided that (1.1) is a minimal m -cover of a group G . For any $j \in [1, k]$, there is an $x \in a_j G_j$ with $|[1, k]^*(x)| = m$. Choose a minimal $I \subseteq ([1, k] \setminus [1, k]^*(x)) \cup \{j\}$ such that $\{a_i G_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a cover of G , then we must have $j \in I$ and hence $[G : G_j] < \infty$ by Neumann's result.

Neumann's basic result has applications in Galois theory, group rings, Banach spaces, projective geometry and Riemann surfaces (cf. [SV]).

Concerning covers of groups by infinitely many cosets, Tomkinson [T86] proved that if a group G is irredundantly covered by $\kappa \geq \aleph_0$ left cosets $a_i G_i$ then $[G : G_i] \leq 2^\kappa$ for each i and hence

$$\left[G : \bigcap_i G_i \right] \leq \prod_i [G : G_i] \leq (2^\kappa)^\kappa = 2^\kappa.$$

Any infinite cyclic group is isomorphic to the additive group $\mathbb{Z}$ of integers. The subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}$ different from $\{0\}$ are in the form $n\mathbb{Z} = \{nx : x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ where $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. For any positive integer n , the index of $n\mathbb{Z}$ in $\mathbb{Z}$ is n and a coset of $n\mathbb{Z}$ in $\mathbb{Z}$ is just a residue class

$$a + n\mathbb{Z} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : x \equiv a \pmod{n}\} \quad (a \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

The study of cover of $\mathbb{Z}$ in the form

$$A = \{a_i + n_i \mathbb{Z}\}_{i=1}^k \quad (1.4)$$

was initiated by P. Erdős ([E50]) in the early 1930s, who viewed this topic as his most favorite one (cf. [E97]). Since then many researchers have

investigated such covers of $\mathbb{Z}$ (cf. [G04] and [PS]). A simple example of cover of $\mathbb{Z}$ with distinct moduli is

$$\{2\mathbb{Z}, 3\mathbb{Z}, 1 + 4\mathbb{Z}, 5 + 6\mathbb{Z}, 7 + 12\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

M. Z. Zhang [Z91] showed that for each $m = 2, 3, \dots$ there are infinitely many exact m -covers of $\mathbb{Z}$ which cannot be split into an exact n -cover of $\mathbb{Z}$ (with $0 < n < m$) and an exact $(m-n)$ -cover of $\mathbb{Z}$. The author investigated m -covers of $\mathbb{Z}$ and exact m -covers of $\mathbb{Z}$ in a series of papers (see, e.g., [S97, S99, S03a, S04a]). Covering multiplicity plays an important role in the author's unification of zero-sum problems, subset sums and covers of $\mathbb{Z}$ (cf. [S03b]).

Let H be a subnormal subgroup of a group G with finite index. Define

$$d(G, H) = \sum_{i=1}^n (|H_i/H_{i-1}| - 1) \quad (1.5)$$

where $H = H_0 \subset H_1 \subset \dots \subset H_n = G$ is a composition series from H to G . ($d(G, G)$ is regarded as 0.) By [S90, Theorem 6] we have

$$[G : H] - 1 \geq d(G, H) \geq f([G : H]) \geq \log_2 [G : H], \quad (1.6)$$

here the Mycielski function $f : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ is given by

$$f\left(\prod_{t=1}^r p_t^{\alpha_t}\right) = \sum_{t=1}^r \alpha_t (p_t - 1) \quad (1.7)$$

where $p_1, \dots, p_r$ are distinct primes and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in \mathbb{N}$. In [S01] the author showed that $d(G, H) = f([G : H])$ if and only if G/H_G is solvable, where $H_G = \bigcap_{g \in G} gHg^{-1}$ is the largest normal subgroup of G contained in H .

Here is the main result of [S01] on exact m -covers of groups.

Theorem 1.1 (Z. W. Sun, 2001). *Let (1.1) be an exact m -cover of a group G by left cosets.*

- (i) *Whenever $G/(G_i)_G$ is solvable, we have $k \geq m + f([G : G_i])$.*
- (ii) *If all the G_i are subnormal in G , then we have the inequality*

$$k \geq m + d\left(G, \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i\right), \quad (1.8)$$

where the lower bound is best possible.

We now give some historical remarks. When $m = 1$ and G is abelian, part (i) was first conjectured by J. Mycielski (cf. [MS]) and confirmed by

Š. Znám [Zn66] in the case $G = \mathbb{Z}$. In 1988 M. A. Berger, A. Felzenbaum and A. S. Fraenkel [BFF] proved that if (1.1) forms a disjoint cover of a finite solvable group G then $k \geq 1 + f([G : G_i])$ for any $i = 1, \dots, k$. When $m = 1$ and $G_1, \dots, G_k$ are normal in G , part (ii) was essentially obtained by I. Korec [K74].

For general covers of groups, things become more subtle and complicated. By using algebraic number theory and characters of abelian groups, G. Lettl and the author [LS] recently obtained the following result.

Theorem 1.2 (G. Lettl and Z. W. Sun, 2004). *Let (1.1) be a minimal m -cover of an abelian group G . Then $k \geq m + f([G : G_i])$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$.*

As Example 1.1 of [LS] shows, we cannot replace the lower bound of k in Theorem 1.2 by $m + f([G : \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i])$ even if $G = C_p \times C_p$ where p is an odd prime and C_p is the cyclic group of order p .

Definition 1.1. Let (1.1) be a finite system of left cosets in a group G . If $[1, k]^*(G) = \{[1, k]^*(x) : x \in G\}$ contains $I^*(G)$ for no $\emptyset \neq I \subset [1, k]$ (i.e., whenever $\emptyset \neq I \subset [1, k]$ there is a $g \in G$ such that $I^*(g) \neq [1, k]^*(x)$ for all $x \in G$), then we call (1.1) a *regular system*. If (1.1) is regular, and $[1, k]^*(G) \not\supseteq \emptyset^*(G) = \{\emptyset\}$ (i.e., (1.1) is a cover of G), then we call (1.1) a *regular cover* of G .

When (1.1) is a minimal m -cover of a group G , it forms a regular cover of G because for any $I \subset [1, k]$ there is a $g \in G$ such that $|I^*(g)| < m$ while $|[1, k]^*(x)| \geq m$ for all $x \in G$. A regular system may not be a cover, e.g., we can easily check that $\{2\mathbb{Z}, 4\mathbb{Z}, 2 + 4\mathbb{Z}\}$ is a regular system of residue classes but it is not a cover of $\mathbb{Z}$. As we will see later, if (1.1) forms a regular cover of a group G then all the indices $[G : G_i]$ must be finite.

Now we introduce our first result in this paper.

Theorem 1.3. *If (1.1) is a regular cover of a group G , and for any $i, j = 1, \dots, k$ either G_i and G_j are subnormal in G with $[G : G_i]$ relatively prime to $[G_i : G_i \cap G_j]$, or G_i and G_j are normal in G with $G/(G_i \cap G_j)$ cyclic, then we have the inequality*

$$k \geq m(\mathcal{A}) + d\left(G, \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i\right). \quad (1.9)$$

Remark 1.1. (a) In view of Example 1.1 of [LS], the conditions of Theorem 1.3 are essentially indispensable. (b) By Example 1.2 of [S01], for any subnormal subgroup H of G with finite index, there does exist an exact m -cover (1.1) of G such that all the G_i are subnormal in G , $\bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i = H$ and $k = m + d(G, H)$.

A subgroup H of a finite group G is called a *Hall subgroup* of G if $|H|$ is relatively prime to $[G : H]$ (i.e., 1 is the greatest common divisor $(|H|, [G : H])$ of $|H|$ and $[G : H]$). By [S04b, Corollary 2.1], a subnormal Hall subgroup of G must be normal in G .

Corollary 1.1. *Let (1.1) be a regular cover of a group G . If G is cyclic, or G is finite and $G_1, \dots, G_k$ are normal Hall subgroups of G , then (1.9) holds.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 1.3 immediately. $\square$

Remark 1.2. The following consequence of Corollary 1.1 was announced by the author in [S01]: Let (1.1) be a minimal m -cover of a group G . If G is cyclic, or $|G|$ is squarefree and all the G_i are normal in G , then we have the inequality

$$k \geq m + f\left(\left[G : \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i\right]\right). \quad (1.10)$$

When $m = 1$ and $G = \mathbb{Z}$, this was conjectured by Znám [Zn75] and confirmed by R. J. Simpson [Si85]; when $m = 1$ and G is of squarefree order, this was proved by Berger, Felzenbaum and Fraenkel [BFF] in 1988.

Recall that a group G is said to be *perfect* if it coincides with its derived group G' . Here is our second theorem.

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose that $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is a minimal m -cover of a group G by subnormal subgroups. Then there is a composition series from $\bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i$ to G whose factors are of prime orders, and all the G_i contain every perfect subgroup of G .*

Remark 1.3. (a) Clearly Theorem 1.4 extends the following result of M. A. Brodie, R. F. Chamberlain and L.-C. Kappe [BCK]: If $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is a minimal cover of a group G by finitely many normal subgroups, then $G / \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i$ is solvable and all perfect normal subgroups of G are contained in each of $G_1, \dots, G_k$. (b) Any finite non-cyclic group G can be covered by finitely many proper subgroups because $G = \bigcup_{x \in G} \langle x \rangle$, but no field can be covered by finitely many proper subfields (cf. [BBS]).

Example 1.1. Let G be a group with $G/Z(G)$ finite, where $Z(G)$ is the center of G . For $x, y \in G$, if $xy \neq yx$ then $(x^{-1}y)x \neq x(x^{-1}y)$ and hence $xZ(G) \neq yZ(G)$. Let $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ be a maximal set of pairwise non-commuting elements of G . Then $k = |X| \leq |G/Z(G)|$, and $\{C_G(x_i)\}_{i=1}^k$ forms a minimal cover of G by centralizers with $\bigcap_{i=1}^k C_G(x_i) = Z(G)$ (cf. [T87, Theorem 5.1]), and $|G/Z(G)| \leq c^k$ for some absolute constant $c > 0$ (see [Py87]). If $\overline{G} = G/Z(G)$ is not solvable, then not all the $C_G(x_i)$ are subnormal in G by Theorem 1.4. When $\overline{G}$ is solvable, Tomkinson [T97]

provided a lower bound of k (conjectured by Cohn [C94]) in terms of a chief factor of $\overline{G}$. D. R. Mason [M78] proved that $|G| \geq 2k - 2$, which was conjectured by Erdős and E. G. Straus [ES].

Concerning covers of a group by subnormal subgroups, the author and his student Song Guo have made the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1 (S. Guo and Z. W. Sun, 2004). *Let $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be a minimal m -cover of a group G by finitely many subnormal subgroups. Assume that $[G : \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i] = \prod_{t=1}^r p_t^{\alpha_t}$, where $p_1, \dots, p_r$ are distinct primes and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ are positive integers. Then*

$$k > m + \sum_{t=1}^r (\alpha_t - 1)(p_t - 1).$$

Let H be a subgroup of a group G . When $X \subseteq G$ is a union of finitely many left cosets of H , we use $[X : H]$ to represent the number of left cosets of H contained in X , which agrees with the index of H in X if X is a subgroup of G . Below is our third result in this paper.

Theorem 1.5. *Let H be a subgroup of a group G with finite index. Suppose that $G_1, \dots, G_k$ are subnormal subgroups of G containing H with $([G : G_i], [G_i : H]) = 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. Given $a_1, \dots, a_k \in G$ we have the inequality*

$$\left[\bigcup_{i=1}^k a_i G_i : H \right] \geq \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^k G_i : H \right]. \quad (1.11)$$

Here is an obvious consequence of Theorem 1.5.

Corollary 1.2. *Let G be a finite group and let $G_1, \dots, G_k$ be normal Hall subgroups of G . Then, for any $a_1, \dots, a_k \in G$, we have*

$$\left| \bigcup_{i=1}^k a_i G_i \right| \geq \left| \bigcup_{i=1}^k G_i \right|. \quad (1.12)$$

Remark 1.4. In [S90] it was asked whether for any finitely many left cosets $a_1 G_1, \dots, a_k G_k$ in a finite group G we always have (1.12). Later Tomkinson pointed out that this is not true for the Klein group $C_2 \times C_2$.

The following conjecture of the author seems very challenging.

Conjecture 1.2 (Z. W. Sun, 2004). *Let $a_1G_1, \dots, a_kG_k$ ($k > 1$) be finitely many pairwise disjoint left cosets in a group G with $[G : G_i] < \infty$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then $([G : G_i], [G : G_j]) \geq k$ for some $1 \leq i < j \leq k$.*

Remark 1.5. (a) The case $k = 2$ will be handled in Remark 2.2. The conjecture remains open even for the additive cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}$.

(b) Under the condition of Conjecture 1.2, clearly

$$\left[G : \bigcap_{j=1}^k G_j \right] \geq \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^k a_i G_i : \bigcap_{j=1}^k G_j \right] = \sum_{i=1}^k \left[G_i : \bigcap_{j=1}^k G_j \right]$$

and hence $\sum_{i=1}^k [G : G_i]^{-1} \leq 1$. Suppose that $[G : G_1] \leq \dots \leq [G : G_k]$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} [G : G_i]^{-1} < 1$, there is an $i \in [1, k-1]$ such that $[G : G_i] \not\leq k-1$ and hence $[G : G_k] \geq [G : G_i] \geq k$. If $[G : G_k]$ is divisible by all those $[G : G_1], \dots, [G : G_{k-1}]$ (this happens if G is a p -group with p a prime), then $([G : G_i], [G : G_k]) = [G : G_i] \geq k$.

We will derive some combinatorial properties of regular systems in the next section, and prove Theorems 1.3-1.5 in the third section.

2. COMBINATORIAL PROPERTIES OF REGULAR SYSTEMS

Recall that a *monoid* is a semigroup containing an identity element.

In order to unify disjoint cover and minimal cover, the author [S90] proposed the following general notion.

Let M be a commutative monoid (considered as an additive one) and S a set. A finite system $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^k$ of nonempty subsets of a set $X \neq \emptyset$ is called an (M, S) -cover of X if there exist $m_1, \dots, m_k \in M$ such that

$$\left\{ \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ x \in X_i}}^k m_i : x \in X \right\} \subseteq S$$

but

$$\left\{ \sum_{\substack{i \in I \\ x \in X_i}} m_i : x \in X \right\} \not\subseteq S \quad \text{for all } I \subset [1, k].$$

(Without any loss of generality we may let S be a subset of M .)

Though useful in [S90], this concept involving a monoid and a set seems cumbersome. We can avoid it by using regular covers of a nonempty set by nonempty subsets. (The definition is similar to that of regular cover of a group by cosets.)

Let X be a nonempty set and $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^k$ a finite system of nonempty subsets of X . If $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^k$ forms an (M, S) -cover of X for some commutative

monoid M and its subset S , then it is easy to see that $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is a regular cover of X . Conversely, if $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is a regular cover of X then it is an (M_0, S_0) -cover of X , where M_0 is the multiplicative monoid of positive integers, and

$$S_0 = \left\{ \prod_{i \in [1, k]^*(x)} p_i : x \in X \right\}$$

with $p_1, \dots, p_k$ distinct primes.

Theorem 2.1. *Let (1.1) be a regular system of left cosets in a group G .*

(i) *Let $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [1, k]$, and $I \neq [1, k]$ if (1.1) is not a cover of G . Set $\bar{I} = [1, k] \setminus I$, and regard $\bigcap_{i \in \emptyset} G_i$ as G .*

(a) *$\bigcup_{i \in I} a_i G_i$ contains a left coset of $\bigcap_{j \in \bar{I}} G_j$, and $\bigcap_{j \in \bar{I}} G_j$ contains at most $|I|!$ left cosets of $\bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i$. For each $x \in \bigcap_{j \in \bar{I}} G_j$ there are positive integers $n_1 \leq 1, \dots, n_{|I|} \leq |I|$ such that $x^{n_1 \cdots n_{|I|}} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i$.*

(b) *If $[G : G_i] < \infty$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$, then*

$$\sum_{i \in I} \frac{1}{[G : G_i]} \geq \sum_{i \in I} \frac{1}{[G : G_i \cap \bigcap_{j \in \bar{I}} G_j]} \geq \frac{1}{[G : \bigcap_{j \in \bar{I}} G_j]} \geq \frac{1}{\prod_{j \in \bar{I}} [G : G_j]} \quad (2.1)$$

where an empty product is regarded as 1. Also,

$$\left[\bigcup_{i \in I} G_i : \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i \right] \leq \sum_{l=1}^{|I|} (-1)^{l-1} (k-l)! \binom{|I|}{l}. \quad (2.2)$$

(ii) *For any subgroup H of G with $J = \{1 \leq i \leq k : G_i \not\subseteq H\} \neq \emptyset, [1, k]$, we have*

$$|\{C \in G/H : C \supseteq a_i G_i \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq k\}| \geq \left[\left(\bigcap_{j \in J} G_j \right) H : H \right], \quad (2.3)$$

where G/H refers to the set $\{gH : g \in G\}$.

Proof. (i) Let us prove part (i) first.

(a) Since (1.1) is regular and $\bar{I} \neq [1, k]$, there exists a $g \in G$ such that

$$\bar{I}^*(g) = \{j \in \bar{I} : g \in a_j G_j\} \notin [1, k]^*(G).$$

For each $x \in \bigcap_{j \in \bar{I}} G_j$, as $\bar{I}^*(gx) = \bar{I}^*(g) \neq [1, k]^*(gx)$, we must have $gx \in \bigcup_{i \in I} a_i G_i$. So

$$g \left(\bigcap_{j \in \bar{I}} G_j \right) \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} a_i G_i.$$

For each $i \in I$, $\{i\} \cup \bar{I}$ is the complement of $I \setminus \{i\}$ in $[1, k]$; if $a_i G_i \cap g(\bigcap_{j \in \bar{I}} G_j)$ is nonempty then it contains exactly $[G_i \cap \bigcap_{j \in \bar{I}} G_j : F]$ left cosets of $F = G_1 \cap \dots \cap G_k$. As

$$g\left(\bigcap_{j \in \bar{I}} G_j\right) = \bigcup_{i \in I} \left(a_i G_i \cap g\left(\bigcap_{j \in \bar{I}} G_j\right)\right),$$

we have

$$\left[\bigcap_{j \in \bar{I}} G_j : F\right] \leq \sum_{i \in I} \left[\bigcap_{j \in \overline{I \setminus \{i\}}} G_j : F\right]. \quad (2.4)$$

In the case $I = \{i\}$, this yields that $[\bigcap_{j \in \bar{I}} G_j : F] \leq 1 = |I|!$. By induction on $|I|$ we see that $[\bigcap_{j \in \bar{I}} G_j : F] \leq |I|!$.

Let $x \in \bigcap_{j \in \bar{I}} G_j$. Since

$$\{g, gx, \dots, gx^{|I|}\} \subseteq g\left(\bigcap_{j \in \bar{I}} G_j\right) \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} a_i G_i,$$

for some $0 \leq s < t \leq |I|$ both gx^s and gx^t belong to a certain $a_i G_i$ with $i \in I$ and therefore $x^{t-s} = (gx^s)^{-1}gx^t \in G_i$. This shows that

$$x^n \in \bigcup_{i \in I} G_i \cap \bigcap_{j \in \bar{I}} G_j = \bigcup_{i \in I} \bigcap_{j \in \overline{I \setminus \{i\}}} G_j$$

where $n = t - s \in \{1, \dots, |I|\}$. Recall that if $|I| = 1$ then $\bigcap_{j \in \bar{I}} G_j = F$. Again, by induction on $|I|$, we find that $x^{n_1 \dots n_{|I|}} \in F$ for some positive integers $n_1 \leq 1, \dots, n_{|I|} \leq |I|$.

(b) When $G_1, \dots, G_k$ are of finite index in G , dividing both sides of the inequality (2.4) by $[G : F] < \infty$ we obtain (2.1).

For each nonempty subset J of I , if $J = [1, k]$ then

$$\left[\bigcap_{j \in J} G_j : F\right] - \sum_{\substack{i \in I \\ i > \max J}} \left[G_i \cap \bigcap_{j \in J} G_j : F\right] = 1 - 0 = (k - |J|)!$$

where $\max J$ is the maximal element of J ; otherwise, by (2.4) and part (a)

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[\bigcap_{j \in J} G_j : F \right] - \sum_{\substack{i \in I \\ i > \max J}} \left[G_i \cap \bigcap_{j \in J} G_j : F \right] \\
& \leq \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \notin J}}^k \left[G_i \cap \bigcap_{j \in J} G_j : F \right] - \sum_{\substack{i \in I \\ i > \max J}} \left[G_i \cap \bigcap_{j \in J} G_j : F \right] \\
& = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq k, i \notin J \\ i \notin I \text{ or } i \leq \max J}} \left[G_i \cap \bigcap_{j \in J} G_j : F \right] \\
& \leq \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq k, i \notin J \\ i \notin I \text{ or } i \leq \max J}} (k - (|J| + 1))! \\
& = \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \notin J}}^k (k - (|J| + 1))! - \sum_{\substack{i \in I \\ i > \max J}} (k - (|J| + 1))! \\
& = (k - |J|)! - \sum_{\substack{i \in I \\ i > \max J}} (k - (|J| + 1))!.
\end{aligned}$$

If $\emptyset \neq J' \subseteq I$ and $2 \mid |J'|$, then $J' = J \cup \{i\}$ and $2 \nmid |J|$ where $i = \max J'$ and $J = J' \setminus \{i\}$. Thus, in view of the above and the inclusion-exclusion principle,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\bigcup_{i \in I} G_i : F \right] &= \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subseteq I} (-1)^{|J|-1} \left[\bigcap_{j \in J} G_j : F \right] \\
&= \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq I \\ 2 \nmid |J|}} \left(\left[\bigcap_{j \in J} G_j : F \right] - \sum_{\substack{i \in I \\ i > \max J}} \left[G_i \cap \bigcap_{j \in J} G_j : F \right] \right) \\
&\leq \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq I \\ 2 \nmid |J|}} \left((k - |J|)! - \sum_{\substack{i \in I \\ i > \max J}} (k - (|J| + 1))! \right) \\
&= \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subseteq I} (-1)^{|J|-1} (k - |J|)! = \sum_{l=1}^{|I|} (-1)^{l-1} \binom{|I|}{l} (k - l)!.
\end{aligned}$$

So (2.2) is valid.

(ii) By part (i) there exists $g \in G$ such that $g(\bigcap_{j \in J} G_j) \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in \bar{J}} a_i G_i$. Therefore

$$g\left(\bigcap_{j \in J} G_j\right)H \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in \bar{J}} a_i G_i H = \bigcup_{i \in \bar{J}} a_i H$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{C \in G/H : C \supseteq a_i G_i \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq k\}| \\ &= |\{a_i H : 1 \leq i \leq k \text{ and } a_i G_i \subseteq a_i H\}| \\ &\geq \left[\left(\bigcap_{j \in J} G_j \right) H : H \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We are done. $\square$

Remark 2.1. (i) By Theorem 2.1(i), for any regular cover (1.1) of a group G we have

$$\left[G : \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i \right] = \left[\bigcap_{i \in \emptyset} G_i : \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i \right] \leq k! < \infty.$$

(ii) Let (1.1) be a minimal cover of a group G . That $\sum_{i \in I} [G : G_i]^{-1} \geq \prod_{j \in \bar{I}} [G : G_j]^{-1}$ for all $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [1, k]$ was first observed by Neumann [N54b]. When all the a_i are the identity element e of G , McCoy [Mc57] obtained the existence of a positive integer n such that $x^n \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i$ for all $x \in G = \bigcap_{i \in \emptyset} G_i$, and S. Wigzell [W95] deduced the inequality $[G : \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i] \leq k! \sum_{l=1}^k (-1)^{l-1} / l!$. In contrast with McCoy's result, J. Backelin [B94] proved that if a (not necessarily unitary) ring R is irredundantly covered by finitely many ideals $I_1, \dots, I_k$ then

$$R^{k-1} := \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^l r_{j,1} \cdots r_{j,k-1} : l \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \text{ and } r_{j,1}, \dots, r_{j,k-1} \in R \right\}$$

is contained in $\bigcap_{i=1}^k I_i$.

The following example shows that those inequalities in Theorem 2.1(i) are essentially sharp.

Example 2.1. Let G be the symmetric group S_k on $\{1, \dots, k\}$ ($k > 1$) and H be the stabilizer of 1. Then

$$\{G_1, (12)G_2, \dots, (1k)G_k\} = \{H, H(12), H(13), \dots, H(1k)\}$$

forms a partition of G , where G_i is the stabilizer of i for each $i = 1, \dots, k$. Let $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [1, k]$ and $\bar{I} = [1, k] \setminus I$. Clearly $\bigcap_{j \in \bar{I}} G_j \cong S_{|I|}$ and hence $\left| \bigcap_{j \in \bar{I}} G_j \right| = |I|!$, also $x^{\prod_{s=1}^{|I|} s} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i = \{e\}$ for all $x \in \bigcap_{j \in \bar{I}} G_j$. Let $a_1 = e$, and $a_i = (1i)$ for $i \in [2, k]$. If $\sigma \in G = S_k$ and $\sigma^{-1}(1) \in I$, then $\sigma \bigcap_{j \in \bar{I}} G_j \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} a_i G_i$, because for any $\tau \in \bigcap_{s \in \bar{I}} G_s$ and $j \in \bar{I}$ we have $\sigma\tau(j) = \sigma(j) \neq 1$ and hence $\sigma\tau \notin a_j G_j$. Note also that

$$\sum_{i \in I} \frac{1}{[G : G_i \cap \bigcap_{j \in \bar{I}} G_j]} = \sum_{i \in I} \frac{(|I| - 1)!}{k!} = \frac{1}{[G : \bigcap_{j \in \bar{I}} G_j]}$$

and

$$\left| \bigcup_{i \in I} G_i \right| = \sum_{l=1}^{|I|} (-1)^{l-1} \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq I \\ |J|=l}} \left| \bigcap_{j \in J} G_j \right| = \sum_{l=1}^{|I|} (-1)^{l-1} \binom{|I|}{l} (k-l)!.$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let H and K be subgroups of a group G . If $[G : H]$ and $[G : K]$ are finite and relatively prime, then HK coincides with G .*

Proof. Use $[m, n]$ to denote the least common multiple of $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then

$$[[G : H], [G : K]] \mid [G : H \cap K] \quad \text{and} \quad [G : H \cap K] \leq [G : H][G : K].$$

As $([G : H], [G : K]) = 1$, we have $[G : H \cap K] = [G : H][G : K]$, i.e., $[K : H \cap K] = [G : H]$. Since HK contains exactly $[K : H \cap K]$ right cosets of H , by the above we must have $HK = G$. $\square$

Remark 2.2. Combining Lemma 2.1 with [S01, Lemma 2.1(i)] we find that for two subgroups H and K of G with finite index if $aH \cap bK = \emptyset$ for some $a, b \in G$ then $([G : H], [G : K]) > 1$. This confirms Conjecture 1.2 in the case $k = 2$.

Recall that for a subgroup H of a group G we use G/H to denote the set of all left cosets of H in G even if H may not be normal in G .

Theorem 2.2. *Let $G_1, \dots, G_k, H$ be subgroups of a group G such that either $G_1, \dots, G_k$ are normal and H is maximal in G , or $G_1, \dots, G_k$ are subnormal and H is maximal normal in G . Suppose that (1.1) is a regular system for some $a_1, \dots, a_k \in G$ and $[G : G_i] < \infty$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. If for any $i, j = 1, \dots, k$, either $[G : G_i]$ is relatively prime to $[G_i : G_i \cap G_j]$, or G_i and G_j are normal in G with $G/(G_i \cap G_j)$ cyclic, then we have*

$$\{C \in G/H : C \supseteq a_i G_i \text{ for some } i = 1, \dots, k\} = \emptyset \text{ or } G/H \quad (2.5)$$

provided that (1.1) is a cover of G or not all the G_i are contained in H .

Proof. Let $I = \{1 \leq i \leq k : G_i \not\subseteq H\}$. If $I = [1, k]$, then the left-hand side of (2.5) is empty. (Note that if $aH \supseteq a_i G_i$ for some $a \in G$ then $a_i H = aH \supseteq a_i G_i$ and hence $H \supseteq G_i$.) When I is empty and (1.1) is a cover of G , the left-hand side of (2.5) coincides with G/H because $xH \supseteq a_i G_i$ if $x \in a_i G_i$.

Now assume that $\emptyset \neq I \neq [1, k]$ and fix a $j \in [1, k] \setminus I$. Since $H \supseteq G_j$, $[G : H] \leq [G : G_j] < \infty$. By Theorem 2.1(ii), the left-hand side of (2.5) contains at least $[(\bigcap_{i \in I} G_i)H : H]$ left cosets of H . So it suffices to show that $(\bigcap_{i \in I} G_i)H = G$.

Let $i \in I$. As $G_i \not\subseteq H$, we have $G_i H = H G_i = G$ by [S01, Lemma 2.1(ii)]. Observe that $[G : H] = [G_i H : H] = [G_i : G_i \cap H]$ divides $[G_i : G_i \cap G_j]$ and that

$$[G : G_i \cap H] = [G : G_i][G_i : G_i \cap H] = [G : G_i][G_i H : H] = [G : G_i][G : H].$$

If $([G : G_i], [G_i : G_i \cap G_j]) = 1$, then $([G : G_i], [G : H]) = 1$. When G_i, G_j are normal in G and $G/(G_i \cap G_j)$ is cyclic, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [G/(G_i \cap G_j) : G_i/(G_i \cap G_j) \cap H/(G_i \cap G_j)] \\ &= [[G/(G_i \cap G_j) : G_i/(G_i \cap G_j)], [G/(G_i \cap G_j) : H/(G_i \cap G_j)]], \end{aligned}$$

hence $[G : G_i][G : H] = [G : G_i \cap H] = [[G : G_i], [G : H]]$ and therefore $([G : G_i], [G : H]) = 1$.

In light of [S01, Lemma 3.1(ii)], $[G : \bigcap_{i \in I} G_i]$ divides $\prod_{i \in I} [G : G_i]$. So $([G : \bigcap_{i \in I} G_i], [G : H]) = 1$ by the above. Hence $(\bigcap_{i \in I} G_i) H = G$ by Lemma 2.1, and this concludes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 2.1. *Let (1.1) be a regular cover of a group G such that for any $i, j = 1, \dots, k$ either G_i and G_j are normal in G with $G/(G_i \cap G_j)$ cyclic, or G_i and G_j are subnormal in G with $([G : G_i], [G_i : G_i \cap G_j]) = 1$. If $G_j \neq G$, then for some $i \neq j$ with $G_i \neq G$ we have $a_i G_i \cap a_j G_j = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Suppose that $G_j \neq G$ where $1 \leq j \leq k$. As G_j is subnormal and of finite index in G it is contained in a proper maximal normal subgroup H of G . Clearly $a_j G_j \subseteq a_j H \subset G$. Choose $x \in G \setminus a_j H$. By Theorem 2.2, $xH \supseteq a_i G_i$ for some $i \in [1, k]$. Since xH is disjoint from $a_j H$, we have $a_i G_i \cap a_j G_j = \emptyset$. Obviously $i \neq j$ and $G_i \neq G$. We are done. $\square$

3. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.3-1.5

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We use induction on the finite index $[G : \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i]$.

If $[G : \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i] = 1$, then $G_1 = \dots = G_k = G$, $m(\mathcal{A}) = k$ and $d(G, \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i) = 0$, so (1.9) holds trivially.

Now let's proceed to the induction step and assume that $[G : \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i] > 1$.

The following observations are important in our induction step. Let K be any subgroup of G . Then $G_i \cap K$ is subnormal in K for any $i = 1, \dots, k$. Let $i, j \in [1, k]$. If $([G : G_i], [G_i : G_i \cap G_j]) = 1$, then $[K : G_i \cap K]$ is relatively prime to $[G_i \cap K : G_i \cap G_j \cap K]$ because $[K : G_i \cap K] \mid [G : G_i]$ and $[G_i \cap K : (G_i \cap G_j) \cap (G_i \cap K)] \mid [G_i : G_i \cap G_j]$ by [S01, Lemma 3.1]. If both G_i and G_j are normal in G with $G/(G_i \cap G_j)$ cyclic, then both $G_i \cap K$ and $G_j \cap K$ are normal in K and $K/(G_i \cap G_j \cap K) \cong (G_i \cap G_j)K/(G_i \cap G_j)$ is cyclic.

Suppose $G_{i_0} \neq G$ where $1 \leq i_0 \leq k$. As G_{i_0} is subnormal in G there is a maximal normal subgroup H of G with $G_{i_0} \subseteq H \subset G$. Let I_0 be a minimal subset of $[1, k]$ such that $|\{i \in I_0 : x \in a_i G_i\}| \geq m(\mathcal{A})$ for all $x \in H$. Clearly such an I_0 exists and $a_i G_i \cap H \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \in I_0$. Observe that the nonempty system $\mathcal{A}_0 = \{a_i G_i \cap H\}_{i \in I_0}$ forms a minimal $m(\mathcal{A})$ -cover of H by left cosets of subnormal subgroups $G_i \cap H$ ($i \in I_0$) of H . Also,

$$\left[H : \bigcap_{i \in I_0} (G_i \cap H) \right] \leq \left[H : \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i \cap H \right] = \left[H : \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i \right] < \left[G : \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i \right].$$

Since a minimal $m(\mathcal{A})$ -cover is also a regular cover, by the induction hypothesis we have

$$|I_0| \geq m(\mathcal{A}_0) + d\left(H, \bigcap_{i \in I_0} (G_i \cap H)\right) = m(\mathcal{A}) + d\left(H, H \cap \bigcap_{i \in I_0} G_i\right).$$

In the case $I_0 \neq [1, k]$, by Theorem 2.1(i) there exists a $g_1 \in G$ such that

$$\bigcup_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \notin I_0}}^k a_j G_j \supseteq g_1 \bigcap_{i \in I_0} G_i,$$

hence we can choose a minimal $I_1 \subseteq [1, k]$ with $I_1 \cap I_0 = \emptyset$ such that

$$\bigcup_{j \in I_1} a_j G_j \cap g_1 H \supseteq g_1 \left(\bigcap_{i \in I_0} G_i \cap H \right).$$

Since $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{g_1^{-1} a_j G_j \cap \bigcap_{i \in I_0} G_i \cap H\}_{j \in I_1}$ forms a minimal cover of $\bigcap_{i \in I_0} G_i \cap H$ by left cosets of subnormal subgroups $G_j \cap \bigcap_{i \in I_0} G_i \cap H$ ($j \in I_1$) of $\bigcap_{i \in I_0} G_i \cap H$, again by the induction hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &\geq m(\mathcal{A}_1) + d\left(\bigcap_{i \in I_0} G_i \cap H, \bigcap_{j \in I_1} \left(G_j \cap \bigcap_{i \in I_0} G_i \cap H\right)\right) \\ &= 1 + d\left(H \cap \bigcap_{i \in I_0} G_i, H \cap \bigcap_{i \in I_0 \cup I_1} G_i\right). \end{aligned}$$

Continue the above procedure until we obtain a partition $\{I_s\}_{s=0}^n$ (with $0 \leq n < k$) of $[1, k]$ such that for each $s \in [1, n]$ there is a $g_s \in G$ with $a_i G_i \cap g_s H \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \in I_s$ and

$$|I_s| \geq 1 + d\left(H \cap \bigcap_{i \in I_0 \cup \dots \cup I_{s-1}} G_i, H \cap \bigcap_{i \in I_0 \cup \dots \cup I_s} G_i\right).$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
k &= \sum_{s=0}^n |I_s| \geq m(\mathcal{A}) + d\left(H, H \cap \bigcap_{i \in I_0} G_i\right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{0 < s \leq n} \left(1 + d\left(H \cap \bigcap_{i \in I_0 \cup \dots \cup I_{s-1}} G_i, H \cap \bigcap_{i \in I_0 \cup \dots \cup I_s} G_i\right)\right) \\
&= m(\mathcal{A}) + n + d\left(H, H \cap \bigcap_{i \in I_0 \cup \dots \cup I_n} G_i\right) \\
&= m(\mathcal{A}) + n + d\left(H, \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i\right).
\end{aligned}$$

As $a_{i_0}H \supseteq a_{i_0}G_{i_0}$, by Theorem 2.2 each $C \in G/H$ contains a_iG_i for some $i \in [1, k] = I_0 \cup \dots \cup I_n$. Recall that $a_iG_i \cap g_0H \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \in I_0$ where $g_0 = e$. For any $g \in G$, if $gH \supseteq a_iG_i$ and $i \in I_s$ then $gH \cap g_sH \supseteq a_iG_i \cap g_sH \neq \emptyset$ and hence $gH = g_sH$. Therefore

$$|G/H| = |\{g_sH : 0 \leq s \leq n\}| \leq n + 1$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
k - m(\mathcal{A}) &\geq n + d\left(H, \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i\right) \geq |G/H| - 1 + d\left(H, \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i\right) \\
&= d(G, H) + d\left(H, \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i\right) = d\left(G, \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i\right).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction proof. $\square$

Remark 3.1. In view of Theorem 2.2, by modifying the complicated proof of [S90, Theorem 8], we can obtain the following result for minimal m -covers (not for regular covers): Let (1.1) be a minimal m -cover of a group G , and suppose that for any $i, j = 1, \dots, k$ either G_i and G_j are subnormal in G with $([G : G_i], [G_i : G_i \cap G_j]) = 1$, or G_i and G_j are normal in G with $G/(G_i \cap G_j)$ cyclic. Then, for each subgroup K of G with $I(K) = \{1 \leq i \leq k : K \not\subseteq G_i\} \neq \emptyset$, we can find an $r \in I(K)$ and $x_i \in K \setminus G_i$ (for $i \in I(K) \setminus \{r\}$) such that

$$\left| \left\{ x_i \left(K \cap \bigcap_{s=1}^k G_s \right) : i \in I(K) \setminus \{r\} \right\} \right| \geq d\left(K, K \cap \bigcap_{s=1}^k G_s\right).$$

If $K \supseteq G_j$ for some $j \in [1, k]$, or $K \supseteq H = (\bigcap_{s=1}^k G_s)_G$ and K/H is a Hall subgroup of G/H , then $I(K) = \{1 \leq i \leq k : [G : G_i] \nmid [G : K]\}$ by Lemma 3.1 given later.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let M be any perfect normal subgroup of G . Fix $x \in G$ and set $M_x = \langle M, x \rangle = \langle x \rangle M$. Since $M_x/M \cong \langle x \rangle / (\langle x \rangle \cap M)$ is cyclic and hence abelian, $M'_x \subseteq M = M' \subseteq M'_x$ and therefore $M'_x = M$.

As $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is an m -cover of G , we can choose a minimal $I_x \subseteq [1, k]$ such that $|I_x^*(g)| \geq m$ for all $g \in M_x$. Clearly $\mathcal{A}_x = \{G_i \cap M_x\}_{i \in I_x}$ forms a minimal m -cover of M_x by subnormal subgroups of M_x . We claim that $J = \{i \in I_x : G_i \not\supseteq M_x\}$ is empty. Assume on the contrary that $J \neq \emptyset$. Then $|\{i \in I_x : G_i \supseteq M_x\}| < m$. Since $\{G_i \cap M_x\}_{i \in J}$ is a cover of M_x by proper subnormal subgroups, M_x possesses a cover by proper normal subgroups. Applying a result of Brodie, Chamberlain and Kappe [BCK], we find that M_x has a normal subgroup H such that $M_x/H \cong C_p \times C_p$ for some prime p . As M_x/H is abelian, we have $M = M'_x \subseteq H$ and hence $M_x/H \cong (M_x/M)/(H/M)$ is cyclic. This contradiction shows that our claim is true.

Let $I = \bigcup_{x \in G} I_x$. For each $x \in G$, clearly $|I^*(x)| \geq |I_x^*(x)| \geq m$. Since $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is a minimal m -cover of G , we must have $I = [1, k]$. For any $i \in [1, k]$, there is an $x \in G$ such that $i \in I_x$ and hence $G_i \supseteq M_x \supseteq M$.

By the above, $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i$ contains any perfect normal subgroup of G . As $\{G_i/F_G\}_{i=1}^k$ is a minimal m -cover of G/F_G , $F/F_G = \bigcap_{i=1}^k G_i/F_G$ contains any perfect normal subgroup of the finite group G/F_G . In light of parts (i) and (v) of Theorem 3.1 of [S01], there exists a composition series from F/F_G to G/F_G whose factors are of prime orders. Thus there is also a composition series from F to G whose factors are of prime orders, and hence F contains all perfect subgroups of G by [S01, Theorem 3.1]. This concludes our proof. $\square$

Lemma 3.1. *Let H and K be subgroups of a group G with finite index. Suppose that H or K is subnormal in G , and $([G : K], [K : H \cap K]) = 1$. Then*

$$[G : H \cap K] = [[G : H], [G : K]]; \quad (3.1)$$

hence

$$H \supseteq K \iff [G : H] \mid [G : K], \quad \text{and} \quad K \supseteq H \iff [G : K] \mid [G : H].$$

Proof. As $[G : K]$ is relatively prime to $[K : H \cap K]$,

$$[G : H \cap K] = [G : K][K : H \cap K] = [[G : K], [K : H \cap K]].$$

By [S01, Lemma 3.1(i)], $[K : H \cap K] \mid [G : H]$ and therefore $[G : H \cap K] \mid [[G : H], [G : K]]$. On the other hand, $[G : H \cap K]$ is obviously divisible by both $[G : H]$ and $[G : K]$. So we have (3.1).

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} H \supseteq K &\iff [G : H \cap K]/[G : K] = [K : H \cap K] = 1 \\ &\iff [[G : H], [G : K]] = [G : K] \text{ (i.e., } [G : H] \mid [G : K]). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $K \supseteq H$ if and only if $[G : K] \mid [G : H]$. This ends the proof. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.5. For any $\emptyset \neq J \subseteq [1, k]$, we assert that $[G : \bigcap_{j \in J} G_j]$ is the least common multiple $[n_j]_{j \in J}$ of those $n_j = [G : G_j]$ with $j \in J$. When $|J| = 1$, this is trivial. Now assume $|J| > 1$ and $[G : \bigcap_{j \in J \setminus \{j_0\}} G_j] = [n_j]_{j \in J \setminus \{j_0\}}$, where $j_0 = \max J$. Observe that $[G_{j_0} : G_{j_0} \cap \bigcap_{j \in J \setminus \{j_0\}} G_j]$ divides $[G_{j_0} : H]$ and hence it is relatively prime to $[G : G_{j_0}]$. With the help of Lemma 3.1, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \left[G : \bigcap_{j \in J} G_j \right] &= \left[[G : G_{j_0}], \left[G : \bigcap_{j \in J \setminus \{j_0\}} G_j \right] \right] \\ &= [n_{j_0}, [n_j]_{j \in J \setminus \{j_0\}}] = [n_j]_{j \in J}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the assertion by induction.

In view of the above and the inclusion-exclusion principle,

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^k G_i : H \right] &= \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subseteq [1, k]} (-1)^{|J|-1} \left[\bigcap_{j \in J} G_j : H \right] \\ &= \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subseteq [1, k]} (-1)^{|J|-1} \frac{[G : H]}{[n_j]_{j \in J}} \\ &= \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subseteq [1, k]} (-1)^{|J|-1} \left| \left\{ 0 \leq x < [G : H] : x \in \bigcap_{j \in J} n_j \mathbb{Z} \right\} \right| \\ &= \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subseteq [1, k]} (-1)^{|J|-1} \left| \bigcap_{j \in J} X_j \right| = \left| \bigcup_{i=1}^k X_i \right| \end{aligned}$$

where $X_i = \{0 \leq x < [G : H] : x \in n_i \mathbb{Z}\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Applying [S04b, Theorem 3.1], we finally obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^k a_i G_i : H \right] &\geq \left| \left\{ 0 \leq x < [G : H] : x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^k n_i \mathbb{Z} \right\} \right| \\ &= \left| \bigcup_{i=1}^k X_i \right| = \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^k G_i : H \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 1.5 is now complete. $\square$

Remark 3.2. If $a_1 G_1, \dots, a_k G_k$ are left cosets in a finite cyclic group G , then we also have (1.12) because $[G : H \cap K] = [[G : H], [G : K]]$ (i.e., $|H \cap K| = (|H|, |K|)$) for any subgroups H and K of G .

Acknowledgment. The paper was finished during the author's stay at the Institute of Camille Jordan (Univ. Lyon-I, France) as a visiting professor, so he would like to thank Prof. J. Zeng for the invitation and hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [B94] J. Backelin, *Ideal powers in intersections of finite coverings by ideals*, Comm. Algebra **22** (1994), 3099–3102. MR 95f:16001.
- [BFF] M. A. Berger, A. Felzenbaum and A. S. Fraenkel, *Mycielski-Sierpiński conjecture and Korec-Znám result*, Colloq. Math. **56** (1988), 241–249. MR 90d:20044.
- [BBS] A. Bialynicki-Birula, J. Browkin and A. Schinzel, *On the representation of fields as finite unions of subfields*, Colloq. Math. **7** (1959), 31–32. MR 22#2601.
- [Br90] R. R. A. Brandl, *Geometric coverings of groups and their directions*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **42** (1990), 177–184. MR 91j:20065.
- [BCK] M. A. Brodie, R. F. Chamberlain and L.-C. Kappe, *Finite coverings by normal subgroups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1988), 669–674. MR 89i:20062.
- [C94] J.H.E. Cohn, *On n -sum groups*, Math. Scand. **75** (1994), 44–58. MR 95k:20026.
- [E50] P. Erdős, *On integers of the form $2^k + p$ and some related problems*, Summa Brasil. Math. **2** (1950), 113–123. MR 13, 437.
- [E97] P. Erdős, *Some of my favorite problems and results*, in: The mathematics of Paul Erdős, I, 47–67, Algorithms Combin., 13, Springer, Berlin, 1997. MR 98e:11002.
- [ES] P. Erdős and E. G. Straus, *How abelian is a finite group*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra **3** (1975/76), 307–312. MR 53#10933.
- [G04] R. K. Guy, *Unsolved Problems in Number Theory* (3rd, ed.), Springer, New York, 2004, Sections A19, B21, E23, F13, F14. MR 2076335.
- [K74] I. Korec, *On a generalization of Mycielski’s and Znám’s conjectures about coset decomposition of Abelian groups*, Fund. Math. **85** (1974), 41–47. MR 50#10025.
- [LS] G. Lettl and Z. W. Sun, *On covers of abelian groups by cosets*, preprint, 2004, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math.GR/0411144>.
- [M78] D. R. Mason, *On coverings of a finite group by abelian subgroups*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **83** (1978), 205–209. MR 56#15766.
- [Mc57] N. H. McCoy, *A note on finite unions of ideals and subgroups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1957), 633–637. MR 19, 246.
- [MS] J. Mycielski and W. Sierpiński, *Sur une propriété des ensembles linéaires*, Fund. Math. **58** (1966), 143–147. MR 34#4140.
- [N54a] B. H. Neumann, *Groups covered by permutable subsets*, J. London Math. Soc. **29** (1954), 236–248. MR 15, 931.
- [N54b] B. H. Neumann, *Groups covered by finitely many cosets*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **3** (1954), 227–242. MR 17, 234.
- [P84] M. M. Parmenter, *Exact covering systems for groups*, Fund. Math. **123** (1984), 133–136. MR 86h:20029.
- [PS] Š. Porubský and J. Schönheim, *Covering systems of Paul Erdős: Past, present and future*, in: Paul Erdős and his Mathematics (edited by G. Halász, L. Lovász, M. Simonvits, S.T. Sós), Bolyai Soc. Math. Studies 11, Budapest, 2002, Vol. I, pp. 581–627. MR 2004d:11006.
- [Py87] L. Pyber, *The number of pairwise non-commuting elements and the index of the centre in a finite group*, J. London Math. Soc. **35** (1987), 287–295. MR 88h:20031.
- [Si85] R. J. Simpson, *Regular coverings of the integers by arithmetic progressions*, Acta Arith. **45** (1985), 145–152. MR 86j:11004.
- [SV] T. Soundararajan and K. Venkatachaliengar, *A variety of applications of a theorem of B. H. Neumann on groups*, Acta Math. Vietnam **19** (1994), 55–60. MR 96b:20002.
- [S90] Z. W. Sun, *Finite coverings of groups*, Fund. Math. **134** (1990), 37–53. MR 91g:20031.

- [S97] Z. W. Sun, *Exact m -covers and the linear form $\sum_{s=1}^k x_s/n_s$* , Acta Arith. **81** (1997), 175–198. MR 98h:11019.
- [S99] Z. W. Sun, *On covering multiplicity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1999), 1293–1300. MR 99h:11012.
- [S01] Z. W. Sun, *Exact m -covers of groups by cosets*, European J. Combin. **22** (2001), 415–429. MR 2002a:20026.
- [S03a] Z. W. Sun, *On the function $w(x) = |\{1 \leq s \leq k : x \equiv a_s \pmod{n_s}\}|$* , Combinatorica **23** (2003), 681–691. MR 2004m:11013.
- [S03b] Z. W. Sun, *Unification of zero-sum problems, subset sums and covers of $\mathbb{Z}$* , Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (2003), 51–60. MR 2004i:11017.
- [S04a] Z. W. Sun, *Arithmetic properties of periodic maps*, Math. Res. Lett. **11** (2004), 187–196. MR 2005g:11015.
- [S04b] Z. W. Sun, *On the Herzog-Schönheim conjecture for uniform covers of groups*, J. Algebra **273** (2004), 153–175. MR 2005d:20074.
- [T86] M. J. Tomkinson, *Groups covered by abelian subgroups*, Proceedings of groups–St. Andrews 1985, 332–334, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 121, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1986. MR 88g:20060.
- [T87] M. J. Tomkinson, *Groups covered by finitely many cosets or subgroups*, Comm. Algebra **15** (1987), 845–859. MR 88c:20049.
- [T97] M. J. Tomkinson, *Groups as the union of proper subgroups*, Math. Scand. **81** (1997), 191–198. MR 99g:20042.
- [W95] S. Wigzell, *Efficient unions of groups*, preprint, Stockholm Univ., 1995.
- [Z91] M. Z. Zhang, *On irreducible exactly m times covering system of residue classes*, J. Sichuan Univ. (Nat. Sci. Ed.) **28** (1991), 403–408. MR 92j:11001.
- [Zn66] Š. Znám, *On Mycielski’s problem on systems of arithmetical progressions*, Colloq. Math. **15** (1966), 201–204. MR 34#134.
- [Zn75] Š. Znám, *On properties of systems of arithmetic sequences*, Acta Arith. **26** (1975), 279–283. MR 51#329.